

PCT

EC,D	06	MAY	2005
IEC,D	u 6	MAY	2002

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	 				
Applicant's or agent's file reference P62051PC00		FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/NL2004/000093		International filing date 10.02.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.02.2003	
Inter	national Patent Classification (IPC) or	r national classification and I	PC		
	3B30/00				
Appl					
CO	PERATIEVE VERKOOP- EN	PRODUCTIEVERENIC	GING		
1.	Authority under Article 35 and to	reliminary examination re ransmitted to the applicar	eport, established by that according to Article 3	nis International Preliminary Examining	
2.	.				
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
J .	a. □ sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
				amended and are the basis of this report	
	and/or sheets contai	ning rectifications authori	zed by this Authority (s	see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
	Administrative Instru	•			
	☐ sheets which supers	sede earlier sheets, but w re in the international and	hich this Authority con	siders contain an amendment that goes licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
	Supplemental Box.	ro in the international app	moduon as med, as mo	icated in item 4 of Box 140. I and the	
	b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (i	ndicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a	
	sequence listing and/or t Box Relating to Sequence	ables related thereto, in c ce Listing (see Section 80	computer readable form	n only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
	John Holdering to Coquerin	oo Elouing (coo coolloir co	e or the Martinghauve	induduonaj.	
4.	This report contains indications	relating to the following it	tems:		
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion			
	☐ Box No. II Priority				
İ	☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity				
	⊠ Box No. V Reasoned sta applicability; or applic	atement under Article 35(2 citations and explanations	 with regard to novelt supporting such state 	y, inventive step or industrial ment	
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docur	ments cited			
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international app	lication		
	☑ Box No. VIII Certain obser	vations on the internation	al application		
			· .		
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion of t	his report	
21.06.2004		04.05.2005			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer	nas Palmy	
European Patent Office				11. E	
	D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	3656 enmu d	Schütte, M		
-	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	opinu u	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-7291	
L				Olipes em-	



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000093

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report			
	With filed,	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This re which i	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		□ pub	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	have	e been	d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			
	Desc	cription	a, Pages			
	1-18	•	as originally filed			
	Claiı	ms, Nuı	mbers			
1-27			as originally filed			
	Drav	vings, S	Sheets			
	1/2-2	2/2	as originally filed			
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.			mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
			e description, pages e claims, Nos.			
			e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
			y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.		not be	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below the made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the intal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
			e description, pages e claims, Nos.			
		☐ the	e drawings, sheets/iigs e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
			y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	*	If it	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000093

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-27

Inventive step (IS)

Claims Yes: No: Claims

1-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-27

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

^ INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 188 674 (KASICA JAMES J ET AL) 23 February 1993 (1993-02-23)

cited in the application

D2: US-A-5 547 513 (STONE JOEL A ET AL) 20 August 1996 (1996-08-20)

Item VIII:

2 Article 6 PCT:

- 2.1 The term "essentially" in claims 11 and 12 is vague and reason for lack of clarity.
- 2.2 Claims 20 24 are phrased as "product-by-process"-type claims. Products should be defined by their technical features and not by the process to manufacture them. Furthermore a product is not rendered novel merely because it was produced by a novel method.
- 2.3 Claim 23 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not defined. The claim attempts to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved. The subject-matter should be defined in more concrete terms, viz. in terms of how the effect is to be achieved.

Item V:

3 Article 33(2) PCT:

- 3.1 The broadest claim is claim 20 which discloses a starch product containing less than 50 wt% amylose (Please refer to item 2.2 as well.). Starch with said amylose content is known from documents D1 D2. Starch generally has said amylose content.

 Claim 20 is not novel.
- 3.2 D1 describes a process for jet-cooking and spray-drying starch (cf. claims 1, 5, 7 and 8). The starch (< 40% amylose, still partly lower than 50 wt%) is heated as an aqueous slurry in the jet cooker at 93 177 °C to form a solution which is than spray dried.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/NL2004/000093

D1 is novelty destroying for the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 6 - 8, 11, 13 - 24.

D2 uses starch containing more than 30% amylose which is heated in water to produce a solution in a jet cooker (cf. col. 4, li. 2 and 3; col. 5, li. 66 - col. 6, li. 9). The solution is dried or precipitated and dried. The obtained starch can be crystalline or gel-like. Drying is effected by spray-drying (col. 6, li. 64 - col. 7, li. 6 and col. 8, li. 11 - 16 and li. 48 - 51).

D2 is novelty destroying for claims 20 - 27.

- 4 Article 33(3) PCT:
- 4.1 None of the claims is considered to be inventive.
- 5 Article 33(4) PCT:
- 5.1 The subject-matter of the claims is industrially applicable.